the arrival and

Airport to protes

far-reaching

plans to cu

emissions

♥ Farming

Finance

Farm orgs take note: Dutch protests will achieve little – the horse has already bolted

Irish farmers are being let down by their leaders' squabbling, lack of vision and failure to influence policy change

Angus

ost Irish farmers seem to support the the Netherlands Some see the Dutch situation as a turning point in farming's push-back in the emissions reduction debate.

Since the signing of the Paris Agreement in December 2015, there have been many calls to delay its implementation

But we have accelerated towards those Paris goals in the last couple of years. EU policy-makers were able to focus on their environmental targets as they worked from home during Covid, resulting in the Green Deal Farm to Fork and CAP reform

Even with the pandemic, the Russian invasion of Ukraine and worldwide inflation, cuts to emissions will be demanded by the EU, as the policies are now in place. Even if the Dutch government didn't want structural change in cleverly used EU and domestic courts to force them to act within

The Dutch government have proposed a 50pc cut to nitrogen



2030, and in the process a cut to livestock numbers by a third, which

A 70pc reduction of nitrogen emissions is required by 2035.

area than Munster and Connacht down well with farmers.

could force 30pc of the country's farmers out of business

The Netherlands is smaller in combined, but has over 100 million livestock. The government's €25br plan to cut emissions has not gone

to agricultural production have been implemented in the Netherlands. In 2016 a dairy cow cull reduced cow numbers by almost 200,000

(equivalent to over half the dairy cows in Cork) while calves, weanlings and heifers reduced by more than 300,000 (25pc).

This was done to reduce phosphate levels impacting on water quality. While Dutch farmers culled the required stock numbers, they

the remaining cows by increasing the volume of meal fed, which led to phosphate reduction targets not

The environmental lobby is particularly strong and well organised in the Netherlands. In 2015, after a three-year legal campaign, the court in the Hague ordered the Dutch government to cut emissions by at least 25pc from

1990 levels within five years.

2019, the Supreme Court ordered their government to cut the nation's emissions by 25pc by the end of 2020. Another legal case has ordered oil giant Shell to cut its 2019 emissions level by 45pc by 2030.

All aspects of Dutch society are being targeted to reduce emissions. Major housing and other construction projects have been impacted by the 2019 Supreme

Court ruling.

to reduce speed limits on roads to

There are many similarities between the Netherlands and Ireland, but Dutch agriculture has been facing animal welfare and environmental challenges for a long time in a way that Irish agriculture

Multi-party coalition governments are now common to both countries, with the general population of both countries concerned about climate change, and willing to elect environmental campaigners to national government and to the European Parliament.

Dutch farmers have been forced to work with animal welfare groups and environmental groups for years

Rather than praising the Dutch farmers' protests, the real question is: why did the Dutch farm organisations let agriculture become so exposed to such drastic

The legal challenges have been running for well over 10 years now, and surely the Dutch farm organisations must have recognise back in 2016, that unless their farming systems evolved, they would run into serious difficulties as a result of the legal rulings?

Where was the vision by Dutch farm leaders to plan their way out of

Irish farmers are still struggling to come to terms with how well organised and vocal environmental campaigners have become — they won't be shouted down.

Poor farm leadership and specialisation on Irish farms have led to a fracturing of the farming lobby, with farmers less sympathetic to farm-types different from their

EU 27 politics are vastly different from those of the EU of the 1980s, and a government containing one main party supported by a few

the past here at home.

The problem for Irish farmers is that their lobbying methods have not evolved — they have failed to move with the changing dynamic of both European and national politica structures.

More often than not the lobbying is re-active to policy changes, rather than pro-active in policy formation.

The Department of Agriculture has realised this, and rather than wasting time going through the motions of rounds and rounds of meetings with farm organisations they prefer to put all the farm organisations in one room and watch as they attempt to man-mark each other and avoid committing to any significant changes for fear of losing membership and income.

So while some of the many Irish farm representatives have been busy trying to give the impression of fighting for farmers' issues, real leadership on key future policies has been light years behind where it needs to be

Their inability to formulate a plan for the direction of productive Irish farmers' needs ahead of the challenges they face means that any kind of a policy position generally arrives after the policy direction has already been decided.

The bulk of €18m of farmers' money per year is being badly utilised, and future generations will pay the cost, unless the farm organisations can get ahead of the curve when it comes to policy

Tractor protests in the Netherlands won't prevent structural change being implemented — it will merely delay the inevitable for Dutch

If farm leaders aren't ahead of policy change and constructively influencing it, then no amount of populist photo-opportunity tractor cades will catch the horse after it has

Angus Woods is a drystock farmer

HE 15 Box Ipidit, volest iliquas mili bus magnissi Iducidem de

Comment/Animal Health



Eamon O'Connell is a vet with erhill Vet Clinic, Nenagh, Co

Is it worth registering for VAT? Probably not, but you need to do



armers often ask me whether it would be worth their while registering for VAT. All farmers are entitled to claim back VAT on capital expenditure on land improvement, farm buildings and fixed plant installations, but not on input or overhead costs or on farm machinery or vehicles.

companies, are not obliged to register for VAT, irrespective of their turnover, but they can if they want. However, where a farmer operates a contracting business or other type of service, they are obliged to

Farmers, including farming

activity exceeds €37,500 per annum To compensate unregistered farmers for VAT incurred on farm input and overhead costs, they matically receive the 'flat-rate

register if the turnover from that

the sale value of farm produce such as cattle, sheep, corn and milk.

VAT, they are no longer entitled to the flat rate refund. They are obliged to notify all the people they sell produce to — or through in the case of marts — that they will no longer receive the 5.4pc refund.

farmers need to establish how average, and how much their flatrate refund is worth.

This may mean taking a look back

Table 1 sets out an example of a 100-cow dairy farmer (Farmer A) who gets his silage harvesting and slurry spreading done by contractor and a 250ac tillage farmer (Farmer B) who has all his own machinery

would gain €3,978.

Where a farmer opts to register for

Sales of hay and silage are VAT exempt and do not fall under this

Doing the maths

To determine if registering for VAT is going to prove worthwhile, much VAT they pay out annually on

over a number of years.

Farmer A would lose €4.699 by

Generally, registration will only benefit those farmers who are heavily mechanised and have a hefty capital replacement annual spend. Despite farmer B spending

and will be even less after taking account of compliance cost and income tax.

So do the sums very carefully as there are not too many cases where VAT registration will bring a

CASE STUDIES — THE MATHS OF REGISTERING FOR VAT

	Farmer A		Farmer B	
Sales (incl. VAT)	Gross	VAT	Gross	VAT
Grain	0	0	360,000	18,444
Milk	260,000	13,321	0	0
Cull cows/calves	17,000	871	0	0
Total	277,000	14,192	360,000	18,444
Relevant outgoings				
Veterinary	12,600	2,142	0	0
Sprays	1,200	224	40,000	7,480
Contractor charges	18,000	2,141	2,500	297
Repairs & maintenance (gen)	5,300	700	6,500	1,040
Machinery running costs	5,200	832	36,000	5,760
ESB & Tel	8,600	1,023	1,500	178
Professional fees	3,000	561	3,000	561
Machinery replacement	7,000	1,309	35,000	6,545
Sundry costs	3,000	561	3,000	561
Total	63,900	9,493	127,500	22,422
Benefit of VAT registration		-4,699		3,978

Clawbacks or exposure to vat

Claiming back VAT, whether as a registered or unregistered farmer can have consequences if you sell your farm or if you simply cease farming or indeed cease being

There are a number of situations where VAT can be clawed back or where a disposal may be liable to

■ Where a non-registered farmer claims a VAT refund through the VAT 58 process, a clawback arises if the farmer ceases to farm or ceases to use the buildings or land in respect of which the claim was made for farming purposes within 12 months of the VAT having been incurred.

This is an unusual scenario, but it can happen, particularly where a farmer decides to sell his farmyard to his limited company It is something to bear in mind

where a farm is being disposed of or

where a farmer ceases to farm. A disposal of land could become liable to VAT where farm buildings were erected or land improvement

the sums for your farm

took place that resulted in a VAT refund claim within five years prior

VAT may be due on the sale even though the farmer may or may not be VAT-registered. This may cause a difficulty with the sale as the buyer, more than likely, will not be able to recover the VAT paid.

■ Where a VAT-registered farmer sells after Year 5, but within 20 years of a new development (or 10 years of a refurbishment), he/she will face a clawback of VAT recovered on the development unless he/she can agree with the purchaser to apply VAT on the sale.

■ Where a registered farmer decides to de-register, they will be liable to repay the cumulative net benefit, if any, as compared to if they had not been registered in the three

Vat claims by unregistered farmers

All claims by non-registered farmer for VAT refunds on expenditure incurred on the erection of farm buildings, land drainage

and reclamation, hedgerow underpasses and fixed plant items are made by way of a Form VAT58 which is now required to be filed online through eRenayments.

You will need the details of your claim, including the invoice number the supplier details and the amount of VAT being claimed. You do not have to include

supporting documentation for your claim, unless requested to do so, but all invoices should be kept for six vears as these may be required by Revenue for inspection.

Each claim must be greater than €125 and a separate claim must be made for each calendar year.

Claims can be lodged up to four tax years subsequent to the expenditure being incurred.

Martin O'Sullivan is the author of the ACA Farmers' Handbook and is a farm business and tax consultant base

